

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                     | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/780,566  | 02/12/2001      | Bert Vogelstein      | 01107.00092             | 1623             |
| 22907   | 7590 06/18/2002 |                      |                         |                  |
| BANNER & WITCOFF<br>1001 G STREET N W<br>SUITE 1100 |                 |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
|   |                 |                      | DAVIS, NATALIE A        |                  |
| WASHINGT  | ON, DC 20001    |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |                 |                      | 1642                    | 11               |
|   |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 06/18/2002 | //               |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## 09/780.566 VOGELSTEIN ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner **Art Unit** Natalie A. Davis 1642 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**Period for Reply** A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **Status** 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 April 2002</u>. 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-24,33 and 34 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) 25-32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Application/Control Number: 09/780,566

Art Unit: 1642

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's election of Group V, claims 25-32 in Paper No. 10 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 25-32 are being examined as belonging to the elected Group V, while claims 1-24 and 33-34 are withdrawn from examination as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

### Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement has been considered. A signed copy is attached hereto.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claim 25 recites the limitation "candidate agent" in line 6. The preamble of claim 25 is drawn to a method of screening of compounds with anti-cancer activity and the conclusion is drawn to a candidate agent. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 25-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the

Application/Control Number: 09/780,566

Art Unit: 1642

art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Factors to be considered in determining whether undue experimentation is required, are summarized in *Ex parte* Forman, 230 USPQ 546 (BPAI 1986). They include the nature of the invention, the state of the prior art, the relative skill of those in the art, the amount of direction or guidance disclosed in the specification, the presence or absence of working examples, the predictability or unpredictability of the art, the breadth of the claims, and the quantity of experimentation which would be required in order to practice the invention as claimed.

The nature of the invention is to screening compounds for anti-cancer activity. The specification indicates that tumor cell growth may be inhibited by an agent, which inhibits CDK4 enzymatic activity and such agents are well known in the art. Likewise, the disclosure states that anti-cancer drugs may be screened for using test compound. Test compounds which inhibit CDK4 enzymatic activity are selected as potential anti-cancer drugs (p. 8). However, the specification does not define, guide, or exemplify what a compound may comprise. There are many "compounds" that may or may not function as contemplated and the specification does not give any guidance as to structural or functional characteristics the compound must posses, so it will be capable of inhibiting CDK4 activity. Accordingly, a test compound may comprise anything. Thus, it would be an undue burden to one of ordinary skill in the art to assay for claimed compounds, which are capable of functioning as contemplated. One cannot extrapolate the teachings of the specification to the breadth of the claims because the claims are broadly drawn to any compound, which may be contacted with a cell, which has a genetic alteration and applicant has not enabled all of these types of compounds. Reasonable correlation must exist between the breadth of the claims and enablement set forth, and it cannot be predicted from the disclosure as to which "compound" may be identified. Therefore, in view of the breadth of the claims and the absence of working examples, it would require undue experimentation for one skilled in the art to practice the invention as claimed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Natalie A. Davis whose telephone number is 703-308-6410. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5:30 (every other Friday off).

Art Unit: 1642

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Caputa PhD can be reached on 703-308-3995. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4315 for regular communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Natalie A. Davis, PhD June 14, 2002

ANTHONY C. CAPUTA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600